

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2024.1312.003>

The Colonization Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza in Different of Dosage Form in the Root Tissue of C3 and C4 Plants

Muh. Akhsan Akib^{1*}, Andi Nuddin¹, Gusmiaty² and Retno Prayudyaningsih³

¹Muhammadiyah University of Parepare, Jl. Jend Ahmad Yani KM.6, Parepare, South Sulawesi, 91131, Indonesia

²Hasanuddin University, Jl. PerintisKemerdekaan Km. 10 Tamalanrea, Makassar, South Sulawesi, 90245. Indonesia

³BRIN's Center for Applied Microbiology Research.Jl. Raya Jakarta-Bogor km 46, Cibinong, West Java, 16911, Indonesia

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Endomycorrhizae, hypha, *Pueraria javanica*, spora, *Zea mays*

Article Info

Received:

15 October 2024

Accepted:

22 November 2024

Available Online:

10 December 2024

A study aimed to identify and determine the percentage of Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) structure colonies in C3 and C4 Plant Root Tissues as Biological Agents in Different Dosage Forms was conducted from May 2022 to August 2023. At coordinates 3°59'30" S and 119°38'43" E, an altitude of 37.0 m above sea level. This study used a factorial design. Factor 1 is the form of VAM dosage consisting of powder/control, sachet, and tablet dosage forms. In contrast, the other factors are the types of plants, namely *Pueraria javanica*, *Vigna radiata*, *Amaranthus tricolour* from the C3 plant group and *Saccharum officinarum*, *Zea mays*, *Sorghum bicolour* from the C4 plant group. The parameters observed were the level of colonization of VAM structures on plant roots in the form of hyphae, arbuscules, vesicular, and spores. The results of the study showed that the VAM dosage form did not inhibit colonization of the roots of C3 and C4 plant groups with different levels of colonization percentage by VAM structures; the level of VAM colonization in the Sachet dosage form had a higher colonization level, and a more complete VAM structure was found compared to other VAM dosage forms. The novelty found in this study is that the mycorrhizal sachet dosage form has a better colonization rate than the powder and tablet dosage forms in C3 and C4 plants.

Introduction

The most widely known types of mycorrhiza are endomycorrhiza, ectomycorrhiza and ectendomycorrhiza (Dyshko *et al.*, 2024; Perotto and Balestrini, 2024). Mycorrhiza (biotrophic fungi) are included in the group

of fungi that can cooperate with plant roots and are not pathogenic (Enebe and Erasmus, 2023; Priyashantha *et al.*, 2023). Roots infected by ectomycorrhizae generally have short, blunt root tips covered by a coat of fungal tissue and no or few root hairs. The fungus takes over the role of the root hairs in absorbing nutrients. The fungus

grows between the root cortex cells within the coat to form a heartig net. Infected roots usually enlarge and branch (Priyashantha *et al.*, 2023; Chauhan *et al.*, 2022).

Mycorrhiza is widely used in agriculture and forestry because it can be associated with plant roots by colonization of the root cortex tissue (Chauhan *et al.*, 2022; Martin and Heijden, 2024) and until now, the use of mycorrhiza is also applied in the livestock sector to increase the production of green fodder. Mycorrhiza with a mutualistic symbiosis with plant root tissue is called Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) (Huey *et al.*, 2020; Khaliq *et al.*, 2022). VAM can colonize plant roots using propagules (Kowal *et al.*, 2020; Zhou *et al.*, 2020). These propagules consist of spores, mycelium, and hyphae from the mycorrhiza, infected roots, and planting media infested by mycorrhiza (Eve and Alessandro, 2023; Huey *et al.*, 2020).

Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza are obligate facultative organisms (Diagne *et al.*, 2020; Jaitieng *et al.*, 2021). Therefore, they need a host of plant roots that can associate with VAM (Gough *et al.*, 2020; Paredes-Jacome *et al.*, 2022). The host plant provides carbohydrates from photosynthesis to VAM (Fall *et al.*, 2022; Wang and Wu, 2023) and in return, VAM helps absorb nutrients such as potassium, phosphorus, and nitrogen from the soil (Fall *et al.*, 2022; Qi *et al.*, 2022; Wahab *et al.*, 2023).

In plants that are symbiotic with VAM, the root absorption area is expanded by VAM mycelium so that the absorption of nutrients, especially P, becomes more remarkable. The rate of P entry into VAM hyphae can reach six times faster than the rate of P entry through root hairs. Plants symbiotic with VAM can generally survive environmental stress, such as drought, salinity, and heavy metal contamination (Muhammad *et al.*, 2024; Wahab *et al.*, 2023).

The occurrence of symbiotics between VAM and plants can be known by the level of colonization that occurs in the roots. The presence of VAM can reveal the colonization of structures produced by VAM, including hyphae, mycelia, vesicles, arbuscular, and spores. With the presence of one or more VAM structures, it can be said that there is a symbiotic by VAM on its host plant (Muhammad *et al.*, 2024; Wahab *et al.*, 2023; Wang and Wu, 2023). In plants that are symbiotic with VAM, the root absorption area is expanded by VAM mycelium so that the absorption of nutrients, especially P, becomes

more remarkable. The rate of P entry into VAM hyphae can reach six times faster than the rate of P entry through root hairs. Mycorrhizae can also increase plant growth by protecting plants from root pathogens and toxic elements. The structure of mycorrhizae can function as a biological shield against root pathogens. Mycorrhizal fungi can release antibiotics that can kill pathogens.

The mechanism of protection can be explained as follows: (1) The presence of a hyphal membrane (coat) can function as a barrier to the entry of pathogens; (2) Mycorrhiza utilizes almost all excess carbohydrates and other exudates, thus creating an environment that is unsuitable for pathogens, (3) Mycorrhizal fungi can secrete antibiotics that can kill pathogens; (4) Plant roots that mycorrhizal fungi have infected cannot be infected by pathogenic fungi, indicating the presence of competition (Islam *et al.*, 2022; Lyu and Smith, 2022; Liu *et al.*, 2024).

The association between VAM and host plants in forming colonization is shown, where the higher the percentage of colonization, the higher the level of compatibility between host plant root exudates and mycorrhiza (Brundrett and Tedersoo, 2020; Lyu and Smith, 2022; Yusnizar *et al.*, 2024). The process of root colonization by VAM is divided into four stages.

The first stage is the germination of spores and the growth of VAM hyphae. The second stage is the penetration of hyphae into the root cell tissue of the plant. The third stage is the development and growth of hyphae in the root cell tissue. The fourth stage is further developing the internal system and its duties to increase plant absorption of water and nutrients (Warman *et al.*, 2022; Yin *et al.*, 2024).

The traditional use of VAM as a biological agent has long been carried out in powder dosage form. However, this dosage form has several disadvantages, including inconsistent application doses because it only uses relative doses and the potential for spore loss due to wind pressure and rainwater. In addition, the powder dosage form has a large mass, making it difficult to move in the field. Therefore, an innovative VAM dosage form with more spores and a higher colonization rate is needed.

The plant organ that is directly related to mycorrhiza is the plant root. There are two known architectures of plant root systems: taproot system architecture, which is generally found in legumes or C3 plants, and fibrous root

system, which is usually found in cereal plants (C4) (Ceritoglu *et al.*, 2020; Sharma *et al.*, 2024; Zhiyong *et al.*, 2022). According to Islam *et al.*, (2022) and Liu *et al.*, (2024), the large surface area of plant roots can increase the occurrence of VAM colonization as long as other factors do not act as inhibitors.

Information and explanations regarding the Percentage of VAM Structure Colonies in C3 and C4 Plant Root Tissues as Biological Agents in Different Dosage Forms need to be found. Therefore, a study aimed to identify and determine the percentage of VAM structure colonies in C3 and C4 Plant Root Tissues as Biological Agents in Different Dosage Forms. This study provides initial information on the VAM dosage form suitable for C3 and C4 plant roots.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The research was conducted at the Screen House, Faculty of Agriculture, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries, Muhammadiyah University of Parepare, and the Agropastid Mini Laboratory from May 2022 to August 2023. At coordinates 3°59'30" S and 119°38'43" E, the altitude was 37.0 m above sea level, the average daily air temperature was 28OC, the average air humidity was 75%, and the average rainfall was 319.78 mm.

Procedures

Research Design

This study used a factorial design. Factor one is the VAM dosage form, which consists of powder/control, sachet, and tablet dosage forms. In contrast, the other factors are the types of plants, namely *Pueraria javanica*, *Vigna radiata*, and *Amaranthus tricolour* from the C3 plant group and *Saccharum officinarum*, *Zea mays*, and *Sorghum bicolor* from the C4 plant group.

Preparation of mycorrhizal dosage form

VAM in powder dosage form is a propagule consisting of spores, vesicular, arbuscular, and hyphae of VAM, pieces of colonized roots, and propagation media containing VAM. This dosage form is needed to manufacture VAM in sachet form using readily biodegradable packaging. Each sachet contains 5 g of propagule, and for the

manufacture of VAM in tablet form, VAM propagules and clay minerals are used as binders with a ratio of 5:5.

Planting and treatment application

VAM in powder dosage form is a propagule consisting of spores, vesicular, arbuscular, and hyphae of VAM, pieces of colonized roots, and propagation media containing VAM. This dosage form is needed to manufacture VAM in sachet dosage form using readily biodegradable packaging. Each sachet contains 5 g of propagule, and for the manufacture of VAM in tablet dosage form, VAM propagules and clay minerals are used as binders with a ratio of 5:5.

Identification and determination of the percentage of VAM structure colonization

Identification and determination of the percentage of VAM structure colonization on each plant root was carried out after the plant was 60 DAP. Observation of root colonization began with root staining, referring to the Clapp *et al.*, (1996) method, and it had the following stages: 1). The roots were washed thoroughly with distilled water. 2). The roots were soaked in 20% KOH for 48 hours. 3). The roots were washed with water until clean using a filter, then soaked in 0.1 M HCl. 4).

The roots were soaked in a trypan blue solution for 48 hours without washing. 5). The roots were soaked in a destaining solution for 24 hours. 6). The roots were cut to 1 cm in size, then arranged parallel to the object glass and covered with a cover glass. The characteristics of colonized roots are the discovery of propagules in the form of hyphae, vesicular, arbuscular, and spores in the root cortex cell tissue.

Root staining was carried out to prove the presence of VAM in the roots of cocoa plants. Before staining, the host plant roots were washed until cleaned from any soil/sand still attached. Then, the lateral roots were selected, cut, and collected. As much as 5-10% of the lateral root collection was randomly taken and then put in a plastic bottle (volume 8 mL) containing 50% alcohol.

The roots are placed in a 10% KOH solution soaked for 24 hours, then rinsed with water for 5 minutes. If the roots still look blackish, soak them for 1–2 minutes in a 10% H₂O₂ solution. After that, the roots are soaked in a 2% HCl solution for 24 hours. Furthermore, the roots are

placed in a staining solution consisting of 100 ml of lactic acid, 100 ml of glycerin, 50 ml of distilled water, and 0.13 g of acid fuchsin, then soaked for 24 hours. Finally, the roots are soaked again in a destaining solution consisting of 100 ml of lactic acid, 100 ml of glycerin, and 50 ml of distilled water, soaked for 24 hours, and the roots are ready to be observed.

Before the observation, the root was prepared with five pieces in one replication. The roots were cut to a length of 1 cm, arranged on a glass object, covered with a covered glass, and labeled according to the treatment. Furthermore, the preparation was observed under a microscope with a magnification of 10-40 times.

Data Analysis

The percentage of VAM colonies was calculated using the [Phillips and Hayman \(1970\)](#) formula, which can be seen in equation 1:

$$\text{Percent of colonization (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of colonized roots}}{\text{Number of roots observed}} \times 100$$

The colonization level criteria are determined [O'Connor et al., \(2001\)](#) criteria, which are determined based on the percentage of calculated percentage of colonization.

Results and Discussion

Percentage of VAM colonization

The results of observations of the percentage of VAM colonization in different dosage forms on various roots of C3 and C4 plants (Table 2).

Percentage colony of VAM structures

Figure 1 shows that the VAM structure has different levels of colonization percentage at various VAM dosage forms. Colonization in the roots was found in hyphae, arbuscular, vesicular, and spore structures.

Cross-section of roots colonized by VAM structures in different dosage form

The VAM structure in the root tissue of each type of plant and the found structures hyphal, arbuscular, vesicle, and spore (Figure 2)

The percentage of VAM structures that colonize roots indicates the capacity of the plant root system to interact with VAM spores. In general, colonization is formed by spores compatible with the physiological processes in the roots of plants.

This type of colonization is generally mutualistic symbiosis, meaning plants and VAM benefit each other. The percentage value of colonization formed indicates the level of ability for mutualistic symbiosis between VAM and host plants. The ability of VAM to reproduce in the rhizosphere is related to the percentage of colonization. The root structure of a plant also influences VAM colonization. Plants with broad and deep root systems have a high chance of being colonized by VAM.

The results of observations of the percentage of VAM colonization in different dosage forms on roots of C3 and C4 plants showed low (2.50%) to high (96.25%) colony status (Table 1). Host sensitivity to the colonization process, climate, and soil factors generally greatly influence VAM colonization. According to [Hazzoumi et al., \(2022\)](#) and [Madouh and Quoreshi \(2023\)](#), the type of plant that functions as a host in the growth and development of VAM must be able to adapt and not be susceptible to pathogens that can interfere with the colonization process. In addition, fine roots can increase mycorrhizae's effectiveness on the host, affecting the optimal response of mycorrhizal symbiosis in colonizing. This form of symbiosis is mainly mutualistic symbiosis, although it can sometimes be a weak parasitic symbiosis.

High colonization levels were detected in the roots of C3 plants (Table 2). This is likely because C3 plants are legumes that generally form root nodules and can form a symbiosis with rhizobia. The positive effects of VAM application on nodule growth, nitrogenase activity, and leghemoglobin content increased nitrogen uptake in some plants ([Fall et al., 2022](#); [Kamau et al., 2021](#)).

The increase in nodule dry weight was due to the positive effect of VAM on increasing P status, which allowed the host plant to form nodules and promoted nitrogen fixation by rhizobia. Increasing the availability of plant phosphorus through VAM will increase the quantity and quality of legume root nodules ([Calderon and Dangi, 2024](#); [Ishaq et al., 2023](#)). In addition, inoculating plants with VAM and rhizobia increased stomatal conductance and the efficiency of photosystems I and II in the photosynthesis process ([Hu et al., 2020](#); [Kamau et al., 2021](#)).

Figure 1 shows that the structure of VAM has different levels of colonization percentage. Internal hyphae can colonize up to 82.89%, followed by Arbuscular (44.44%), vesicular (50.61%), and spores (25.56%).

In the infection process, according to [Boyno *et al.*, \(2023\)](#) and [Khaliq *et al.*, \(2022\)](#), the beneficial relationship between VAM and plants occurs due to the exchange of signals between the two symbionts, where strigolactones derived from plants are perceived by VAM ([Korek and Marzec, 2023](#); [Mashiguchi *et al.*, 2021](#)), which in turn produces a mixture of chitoligosaccharides and lipochitoligosaccharides that appear to function as VAM signaling molecules to plants, both chitoligosaccharides, and lipochitoligosaccharides can activate the symbiotic signaling pathway ([Rush *et al.*, 2020](#); [Solis *et al.*, 2022](#)), followed by the growth of VAM hyphae towards the roots, the formation of hypopodium on the root surface, and entering through the epidermal cell layer into the root cortex ([Chen *et al.*, 2021](#); [Russo and Genre, 2021](#)).

After penetration, hyphae grow intracellularly or extracellularly in the cortex; hyphae form hyphal coils outside the cortex ([Bharathy and Muthukumar, 2023](#); [Chandwani *et al.*, 2023](#)). A plant signaling process is required for the establishment of VAM symbiosis in arbuscular, which are the source of symbiosis ([Shi *et al.*, 2023](#); [Wang *et al.*, 2023](#)).

Once inside the root, there are two distinct types of VAM colonization strategies, although intermediate forms of these strategies are common ([Boeraeve *et al.*, 2019](#); [Ceulemans *et al.*, 2019](#)).

Arum-type colonization involves hyphae spreading between cortical cells before they penetrate the inner cortical cells to form highly branched, terminally differentiated structures called arbuscules; and Paris-type colonization, hyphae spreading through intracellular pathways of cortical cells, where hyphal coils or arbuscules are formed. Arbuscules are the site of nutrient transfer between the plant and VAM and are, therefore, essential for VAM symbiosis ([Huo *et al.*, 2021](#); [Tominaga *et al.*, 2022](#)).

The VAM colonization is also influenced by the root system of a type of vegetation ([Thind *et al.*, 2022](#); [Zhou *et al.*, 2020](#)); plants with a broad and deep root system have a high chance of forming colonies with VAM ([Madouh and Quoreshi, 2023](#); [Salim *et al.*, 2020](#)). In

addition, the presence of hair roots can also increase the effectiveness of VAM on the host, which affects the symbiotic response of VAM in colonizing optimally ([Beslemes *et al.*, 2023](#); [Chauhan *et al.*, 2022](#)).

The unique characteristics of VAM are that it is located inside the host root cells, the hyphae are not septate, and they are vesicular and arbuscular. The hyphae that are in the host root cells are the starting point of penetration and are directly connected to the hyphae that are outside the roots; arbuscular function as a means of transferring nutrients between VAM and its host, while vesicles are formed at the tips of the hyphae in the host tissue and function as a place for food reserves ([Corcoz *et al.*, 2022](#); [Khaliq *et al.*, 2022](#); [Yuwati *et al.*, 2020](#)). VAM infection in the roots can be seen through chemical staining (Figure 2). The infected root cells will be more giant and expand but do not damage the root cells; even if viewed from the outside, it looks like there is no change.

Observation of the VAM structure in the root tissue of each type of plant found hypha, arbuscular, vesicle, and spore (Figure 2). VAM spores form this structure and play a vital role in the association process. The hyphae produced from the germination of VAM spores absorb nutrients and water from the outer surface into the roots ([Kalamulla *et al.*, 2022](#); [Yusnizar *et al.*, 2024](#)).

The arbuscular structure is a hyphal structure that branches, is shaped like a tree, and is located between the cell wall and the cell membrane. It is a temporary storage place for minerals, nutrients, and sugars and for exchanging nutrients and carbon between VAM and the host plant ([Rini *et al.*, 2021](#); [Yuwati *et al.*, 2020](#)). Vesicles are thin-walled structures formed from swelling at the tips of hyphae, round, oval, or irregular in shape. Vesicles act as organs for storing food reserves such as lipids and, at certain times, act as spores, which defend VAM's life ([Giovannini *et al.*, 2020](#); [Natawijaya *et al.*, 2022](#)).

Meanwhile, spores are the reproductive organs of VAM and are formed from single or colonial extraradical hyphae (sporocarps) ([Sugiura *et al.*, 2020](#); [Yamato *et al.*, 2022](#)). Spores are composed of polysaccharides, lipids, proteins, and chitin. Spores germinate during reproduction and produce hyphae that infect the host plant's roots ([Kalamulla *et al.*, 2022](#); [Silwer, 2020](#)). During reproduction, spores first germinate and produce hyphae that infect the host plant's roots ([Satria *et al.*, 2023](#); [Warman *et al.*, 2022](#)).

Table.1 Colonization level criteria

S.No	Percentage	Criteria
1	0	Not colonized
2	<10	Low
3	10-30	Medium
4	> 30	High

Table.2 Percentage and status of AMF colony structures in different dosage forms in various C3 and C4 plants.

VAM dosage form	Species	Family	% VAM Structure Colony				% colony	Colony status*
			HI	A	V	S		
Powder	<i>Puraria javanica</i> (C3)	Fabaceae	100	85	90	60	83.75	High
	<i>Vigna radiata</i> (C3)	Fabaceae	100	100	100	85	96.25	High
	<i>Amarantus gangenticus</i> (C3)	Amaranthaceae	100	100	100	80	95.00	High
	<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> (C4)	Poaceae	85	0	10	0	23.75	Medium
	<i>Zea mays</i> (C4)	Poaceae	90	0	35	10	33.75	High
	<i>Shorgum bicolor</i> (C4)	Poaceae	95	5	60	20	45.00	High
Sachet	<i>Puraria javanica</i> (C3)	Fabaceae	100	100	70	20	72.50	High
	<i>Vigna radiata</i> (C3)	Fabaceae	100	100	80	80	90.00	High
	<i>Amarantus gangenticus</i> (C3)	Amaranthaceae	100	100	100	30	82.50	High
	<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> (C4)	Poaceae	50	5	15	0	23.33	Medium
	<i>Zea mays</i> (C4)	Poaceae	95	25	55	5	45.00	High
	<i>Shorgum bicolor</i> (C4)	Poaceae	90	30	75	15	52.50	High
Tablet	<i>Puraria javanica</i> (C3)	Fabaceae	90	70	30	20	52.50	High
	<i>Vigna radiata</i> (C3)	Fabaceae	80	70	10	10	42.50	High
	<i>Amarantus gangenticus</i> (C3)	Amaranthaceae	0	0	10	0	2.50	Low
	<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> (C4)	Poaceae	55	0	0	0	13.75	Medium
	<i>Zea mays</i> (C4)	Poaceae	95	0	50	15	40.00	High
	<i>Shorgum bicolor</i> (C4)	Poaceae	85	10	35	10	34.00	High

Note: HI, Internal Hyphae; A, Arbuscules; V, Vesicles; S, Spores;*, colony status based on O'Connor *et al.*, (2001).

Figure.1 Percentage colony of VAM structures in various dosage forms.






Figure.2 Cross-section of roots colonized by VAM structures in different dosage forms in various C3 and C4 plants. (Pdfm, Powder dosage form; Sdfm, sachet dosage form; Tdfm, tablet dosage form)





The research that has been conducted provides information that VAM dosage forms show different colonization levels of C3 and C4 plant root tissue. VAM in the sachet, tablets, and powder dosage forms is more capable of colonizing C3 plant root tissue than other plants. The hyphae structure of VAM is more dominant in colonizing the root tissue of C3 and C4 plants compared to other VAM structures. VAM in sachet dosage form colonizes deep plant root tissue (taproots), while tablet and powder dosage forms colonize short plant root tissue (fibrous roots), which can be concluded in this study.

Acknowledgements

The research team would like to thank the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology for supporting research funding in 2024.

Author Contributions

Muh. Akhsan Akib: Investigation, formal analysis, writing—original draft. Andi Nuddin: Validation, methodology, writing—reviewing. Gusmiaty:—Formal analysis, writing—review and editing. Retno Prayudyaningsih: Investigation, writing—reviewing.

Data Availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethical Approval Not applicable.

Consent to Participate Not applicable.

Consent to Publish Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Beslemes, D., Tigka E, Roussis I, Kakabouki I, Mavroeidis A, and Vlachostergios D. 2023. "Effect of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Nitrogen and Phosphorus Uptake Efficiency and Crop Productivity of Two-Rowed Barley under Different Crop Production Systems." *Plants* 12:1908. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12091908>.
- Bharathy, N., and Muthukumar T. 2023. "Colonization of Intraradical Structures of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi by Dark Septate Endophytic Fungi." *Rodriguesia* 74:e00452022. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2175-7860202374033>.
- Boeraeve, M., Honnay O, and Jacquemyn H. 2019. "Forest Edge Effects on the Mycorrhizal Communities of the Dual-Mycorrhizal Tree Species *Alnus Glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn." *Science of the Total Environment* 666:703–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.290>.
- Boyno, G., Danesh YR, Demir S, Teniz N, Mulet JM, and Porcel R. 2023. "The Complex Interplay between Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Strigolactone:

- Mechanisms, Sinergies, Applications and Future Directions.” *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 24:16774. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms242316774>.
- Brundrett, MC, and Tedersoo L. 2020. “Resolving the Mycorrhizal Status of Important Northern Hemisphere Trees.” *Plant and Soil* 454:3–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04627-9>.
- Calderon, Rosalie B., and Sadikshya R. Dangi. 2024. “Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Rhizobium Improve Nutrient Uptake and Microbial Diversity Relative to Dryland Site-Specific Soil Conditions.” *Microorganisms* 12(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12040667>.
- Ceritoglu, M., Ceritoglu F, Erman M, and Bektas H. 2020. “Root System Variation of Pulse Crops at Early Vegetative Stage.” *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* 48:2182–97. <https://doi.org/10.15835/48412054>.
- Ceulemans, T., Geel MV, Jacquemyn H, Boeraeve M, Plue J, Saar L, Kasari L, Peeters G, Acker KV, Crauwels S, Lievens B, and Honnay O. 2019. “Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in European Grasslands under Nutrient Pollution.” *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 00:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12994>.
- Chandwani, S., Maiti S, and Amareson N. 2023. “Fungal Mycorrhizae from Plants Roots: Functions and Molecular Interactions.” Pp. 133–60 in *Microbial Symbionts*, edited by D. Dharumadurai. India: Elsevier.
- Chauhan, S., Mahawar S, Jain D, Udpadhyay SK., Mohanty SR, Singh A, and Maharjan E. 2022. “Boosting Sustainable Agriculture by Arbuscular Mycorrhiza under Stress Condition: Mechanism and Future Prospective.” *Hindawi BioMed Research International* 2022:1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5275449>.
- Chen, M., Bruissin S, Bapaume L, Darbon G, Glauser G, Schorderet M, and Reinhardt D. 2021. “VAPYRIN Attenuates Defence by Repressing PR Gene Induction and Localized Lignin Accumulation during Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis of *Petunia Hybrida*.” *New Phytologist* 229:3481–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17109>.
- Clapp Lee W., John M. Regan, Firdaus Ali, Jack D. Newman, Jae K. Park, Daniel R. Noguera. 1999. Activity, structure, and stratification of membraneattached methanotrophic biofilms cometabolically degrading trichloroethylene, *Water Science and Technology*, Volume 39, Issue 7, 153–161. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1223\(99\)00163-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1223(99)00163-8)
- Corcoz, L., Pacurar F, Vaida I, Pleșa A, Moldovan C, Stoian V, and Vidican R. 2022. “Deciphering the Colonization Strategies in Roots of Long-Term Fertilized *Festuca Rubra*.” *Agronomy* 12:650. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12030650>.
- Diagne, N., Ngom M, Djighaly PI, Fall D, Hoher V, and Svistoonoff S. 2020. “Roles of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Plant Growth and Performance: Importance in Biotic and Abiotic Stressed Regulation.” *Diversity* 12:370. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d12100370>.
- Dyshko, V., Hilszczanska D, Davydenko K, Matic S, Moser WK, Borowaik P, and Oszaka T. 2024. “An Overview of Mycorrhiza in Pines: Research, Species, and Applications.” *Plant* 13:1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants13040506>.
- Enebe, MC, and Erasmus M. 2023. “Susceptibility and Plant Immune Control—a Case of Mycorrhizal Strategy for Plant Colonization, Symbiosis, and Plant Immune Suppression.” *Frontiers in Microbiology* 14:1178258. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1178258>.
- Eve, RS, and Alessandro T. 2023. “An Experimental Setup to Investigate the Effect of Mycorrhizal Fungi Inoculation on Plant Water Uptake in Unsaturated Soils.” in Michael Bardanis (ed). *UNSAT2023*, 8 th International Conference on Unsaturated Soils. Milos, Greece, 2-5 May 2023, [Yunani].
- Fall, Abdoulaya Fofana, Grace Nakabonge, Jsep Ssekandi, Hassna Faounoune-Mboup, Samuel Obeng Apori, Abibatou Ndiaye, Arfang Badji, and Khady Ngom. 2022. “Roles of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Soil Fertility: Contribution in the Improvement of Physical, Chemical, and Biological Properties of the Soil.” *Frontiers in Fungal Biology* 3:723892. <https://doi.org/10.3389/ffunb.2022.723892>.
- Giovannini, L., Palla M, Agnolucci M, Avio L, Sbrana C, Turrini A, and Giovannetti M. 2020. “Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Associated Microbiota as Plant Biostimulants: Research Strategies for the Selection of the Best Performing Inocula.” *Agronomy* 10:106. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10010106>.
- Gough, EC, Owen KJ, Zwart RS, and Thompson JP. 2020. “A Systematic Review of the Effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Root-Lesion Nematodes, *Pratylenchus* Spp.” *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11:923. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00923>.
- Hazzoumi, Z., Azaroual SE, Mernissi NE, Zaroual Y, Duponnois R, Bouizgarne B, and Kadmiri IM. 2022. “Effect of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Isolated From Rock Phosphate Mine and Agricultural Soil on

- the Improvement of Wheat Plant Growth.” *Frontiers in Microbiology* 13:881442. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.881442>.
- Hu, Yanbo, Wei Xie, and Baodong Chen. 2020. “Arbuscular Mycorrhiza Improved Drought Tolerance of Maize Seedlings by Altering Photosystem II Efficiency and the Levels of Key Metabolites.” *Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture* 7(1):1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40538-020-00186-4>.
- Huey, CJ, Gopinath SCB, Uda MNA, Zulhaimi HI, Jaafar MN, Kasim FH, and Yaakub ARW. 2020. “Mycorrhiza: A Natural Resource Assists Plant Growth under Varied Soil Conditions.” *3 Biotech* 10:204. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-020-02188-3>.
- Huo, L., Gao R, Hou X, Yu X, and Yang X. 2021. “Arbuscular Mycorrhizal and Dark Septate Endophyte Colonization in Artemisia Roots Responds Differently to Environmental Gradients in Eastern and Central China.” *Science of the Total Environment* 795:148808. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148808>.
- Ishaq, Lily F., Ingrida J. A. Manehat, Anthonius S. J. Adu Tae, and Yoke I. Benggu. 2023. “Dual Inoculation of Rhizobium and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Increases Soil-Total Nitrogen, Available Phosphorus, and Yield of Soybean in Vertisols.” *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA* 9(5):2444–51. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i5.3162>.
- Islam, M., Al-Hashimi A, Ayshasiddeka M, Ali H, Enshasy HAE, Dailin DJ, Sayyed RZ, and Yeasmin T. 2022. “Prevalence of Mycorrhizae in Host Plants and Rhizosphere Soil: A Biodiversity Aspect.” *PLoS ONE* 17:e0266403. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266403>.
- Jaitieng, S., Sinma K, Rungcharoenthong P, and Amkha S. 2021. “Arbuscular Mycorrhiza Fungi Applications and Rock Phosphate Fertilizers Enhance Available Phosphorus in Soil and Promote Plant Immunity in Robusta Coffee.” *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 67:97–101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2020.1848343>.
- Kalamulla, R., Karunarathna SC, Tibpromma S, Galappaththi MCA, Suwannarach N, Stephenson SL, Asad S, Salem ZS, and Yapa N. 2022. “Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Sustainable Agriculture.” *Sustainability* 14:12250. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912250>.
- Kamau, Naomi Njeri, Jemes B. Kungu, and Daniel Mugendi. 2021. “Effects of Mycorrhizal and Rhizobium Inoculation on Soybean Growth in Acidic Soils of Gatanga, Kenya.” *Cell Biology and Development* 4(1):1–16. <https://doi.org/10.13057/cellbioldev.v040101>.
- Khaliq, A., Perveen S, Alamer KH, Haq MZIU, Rafique Z, Alsudays IM, Althobaiti AT, Saleh MA, Hussain S, and Attia H. 2022. “Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Symbiosis to Enhance Plant–Soil Interaction.” *Sustainability* 14:7840. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14137840>.
- Korek, M., and Marzec M. 2023. “Strigolactones and Abscissic Acid Interactions Affect Plant Development and Response to Abiotic Stresses.” *BMC Plant Biology* 23:1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-023-04332-6>.
- Kowal, J., Arrigoni E, Serra J, and Bidartondo M. 2020. “Prevalence and Phenology of Fine Root Endophyte Colonization across Populations of Lycopodiella Inundata.” *Mycorrhiza* 30:577–87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-020-00979-3>.
- Liu, Y., Xu Z, Chen L, Xun W, Shu X, Chen Y, Sun X, Wang Z, Ren Y, Shen Q, and Zhang R. 2024. “Root Colonization by Beneficial Rhizobacteria.” *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 48:1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuad066>.
- Lyu, D., and Smith DL. 2022. “The Root Signals in Rhizospheric Inter-Organismal Communications.” *Frontiers in Plant Science* 13:1064058. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.1064058>.
- Madouh, TA, and Quoreshi AM. 2023. “The Function of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Associated with Drought Stress Resistance in Native Plants of Arid Desert Ecosystems: A Review.” *Diversity* 15:391. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d15030391>.
- Martin, FM., and Heijden VdMGA. 2024. “The Mycorrhizal Symbiosis: Research Frontiers in Genomics, Ecology, and Agricultural Application.” *New Phytologist* 242:1486–1506. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19541>.
- Mashiguchi, K., Seto Y, and Yamaguchi S. 2021. “Strigolactone Biosynthesis, Transport and Perception.” *Plant Journal* 105:335–50. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15059>.
- Muhammad, M., Waheed A, Wahab A, Majeed M, Nazim M, Liu YH, Li L, and Li WJ. 2024. “Soil Salinity and Drought Tolerance: An Evaluation of Plant Growth, Productivity, Microbial Diversity, and Amelioration Strategies.” *Plant Stress* 11:100319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stress.2023.100319>.
- Natawijaya, D., Yulianto Y, Hadiyah I, Manik VT, and Meylani V. 2022. “Inoculation by Mycorrhizal on Combinations of Planting Media and Host Plant Types and Their Effect on Plant Vegetative Growth.” *International Journal of Design and Nature and Ecodynamics* 17:921–27. <https://doi.org/10.18280/ij dne.170613>.

- O'Connor, PJ, Smith SE, and Smith FA. 2001. "Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Associations in the Southern Simpson Desert." *Australian Journal of Botany* 49:493–99. <https://doi.org/10.1071/BT00014>.
- Paredes-Jacome, JR, Mendoza-Villarreal R, Chiquito-Contreras RG, Hernandez-Montiel LG, Robledo-Torres V, and Ramírez-Rodriguez H. 2022. "Multiplication of Native Endomycorrhizae Isolated from Arid Soils on Organic Substrates in Wheat Plants (*Triticum aestivum*).” *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture* 12:97–109. <https://doi.org/10.30486/ijrowa.2022.1932728.1267>.
- Perotto, S., and Balestrini R. 2024. "At the Core of the Endomycorrhizal Symbioses: Intracellular Fungal Structures in Orchid and Arbuscular Mycorrhiza.” *New Phytologist* 242:1408–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19338>.
- Phillips, JM, and Hayman DS. 1970. "Improved Procedures for Clearing Roots and Staining Parasitic and Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi for Rapid Assessment of Infection.” *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 55:158–61. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0007-1536\(70\)80110-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0007-1536(70)80110-3).
- Priyashantha, AKH, Dai DQ, Bhat DJ., Stephenson SL., Promputtha I, Kaushik P, Tibpromma S, and Karunarathna SC. 2023. "Plant–Fungi Interactions: Where It Goes?" *Biology* 12:809. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology12060809>.
- Qi, S., Wang J, Wan L, Dai Z, Matos DSDM, Du D, Egan S, Bonser SP, Thomas T, and Moles AT. 2022. "Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Contribute to Phosphorous Uptake and Allocation Strategies of *Solidago Canadensis* in a Phosphorous-Deficient Environment.” *Frontiers in Plant Science* 13:831654. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.831654>.
- Rini, MV, Yelli F, Tambunan DL, and Damayanti I. 2021. "Morphological and Molecular Identifications of Three Native Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Isolated from the Rhizosphere of *Elaeis Guineensis* and *Jatropha Curcas* in Indonesia.” *Biodiversitas* 22:4940–47. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d221128>.
- Rush, TA, Puech-Pages V, Bascaules A, Jargeat P, Maillet F, Haouy A, Maës AQM, Carriel CC, Khokhani D, Keller-Pearson M, Tannous J, Cope KR, Garcia K, Maeda J, Johnson C, Kleven B, Choudhury QJ, Labbe J, Swift C, O'Malley MA, Bok JW, Cottaz S, Fort S, Poinot V, Sussman MR, Lefort C, Nett J, Keller NP, Becard G, and Ane JM. 2020. "Lipo-Chitoooligosaccharides as Regulatory Signals of Fungal Growth and Development.” *Nature Communications* 11:3897. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17615-5>.
- Russo, G., and Genre A. 2021. "Divide and Be Conquered—Cell Cycle Reactivation in Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis.” *Frontiers in Plant Science* 12:753265. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.753265>.
- Salim, MA, Budi SWR, Setyaningsih L, Iskandar, Wahyudi I, and Kirmi H. 2020. "Root Colonization by Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) in Various Age Classes of Revegetation Post-Coal Mine.” *Biodiversitas* 21:5013–22. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d211005>.
- Satria, B., Martinsyah RH, Armansyah, Erona M, and Warnita. 2023. "The Influence of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (FMA) Dosage and Yomari Liquid Organic Fertilizer on the Growth of Seedlings of Agarwood-Producing Plants (*Aquilaria Malacensis* Lamk.) on Former Gold Mining Soil.” *International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology* 8:73–84. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijeab>.
- Sharma, A., Saini P, Saini P, Tyagi V, Sharma S, Ahmed N, Dhaliwal HS, and Sheikh I. 2024. "Root System Architecture in Cereals: Exploring Different Perspectives of the Hidden Half.” *Revista Brasileira de Botanica* 47:925–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40415-024-00991-3>.
- Shi, J., Wang X, and Wang E. 2023. "Mycorrhizal Symbiosis in Plant Growth and Stress Adaptation: From Genes to Ecosystems.” *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 74:569–607. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-061722-090342>.
- Silwer, H. 2020. The Effect of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Biostimulating Algae Extract on Establishment, Growth and Development of *Vitis Vinifera*. Sweden.
- Solis, MI..., Engle NL, Spangler MK, Cottaz S, Fort S, Maeda J, Ane JM, Tschaplinski TJ, Labbe JL, Hettich RL, Abraham PE, and Rush TA. 2022. "Expanding the Biological Role of Lipo-Chitoooligosaccharides and Chitoooligosaccharides in *Laccaria Bicolor* Growth and Development.” *Frontiers in Fungal Biology* 3:808578. <https://doi.org/10.3389/ffunb.2022.808578>.
- Sugiura, Y., Akiyama R, Tanaka S, Yano K, Kameoka H, Marui S, Saito M, Kawaguchi M, Akiyama K, and Saito K. 2020. "Myristate Can Be Used as a Carbon and Energy Source for the Asymbiotic Growth of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi.” in *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. Vol. 117: 25779. PubMed Center, America, 13 October 2020.
- Thind, S., Chaudhary MS, Ditta A, Hussain I, Parveen A, Ullah N, Mahmood Q, Al-ashkar I, and El-Sabagh A. 2022. "Impact of Mycorrhizal Fungi from Different Rhizospheric Soils on Fungal Colonization, Growth,

- and Chlorophyll Contents of *Cenchrus Ciliaris*.” *Agronomy* 12:2644.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12112644>.
- Tominaga, T., Yao L, Saito H, and Kaminaka H. 2022. “Conserved and Diverse Transcriptional Reprogramming Triggered by the Establishment of Symbioses in Tomato Roots Forming Arum-Type and Paris-Type Arbuscular Mycorrhizae.” *Plants* 11:747.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11060747>.
- Wahab, A., Muhammad M, Munir A, Abdi G, Zaman W, Ayaz A, Khizar Ci, and Reddy SPP. 2023. “Role of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Regulating Growth, Enhancing Productivity, and Potentially Influencing Ecosystems under Abiotic and Biotic Stresses.” *Plants* 12:3102. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12173102>.
- Wang, Q., Liu M, Wang Z, Li J, Liu K, and Huang D. 2023. “The Role of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis in Plant Abiotic Stress.” *Frontiers in Microbiology* 14:1323881.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1323881>.
- Wang, YJ, and Wu QS. 2023. “Influence of Sugar Metabolism on the Dialogue between Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Plants.” *Horticulture Advances* 1:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44281-023-00001-8>.
- Warman, R., Santari PT, and Sandi N. 2022. “Performance of Shallots (*Allium Ascalonicum* L) in Peat Soil with Organic Fertilizer and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF).” *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA* 8:58–66.
<https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v8ispecialissue.2482>.
- Yamato, M., Yamada H, Maeda T, Yamamoto K, Kusakabe R, and Orihara T. 2022. “Clonal Spore Populations in Sporocarps of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi.” *Mycorrhiza* 32:373–85.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-022-01086-1>.
- Yin, X., Zhang W, Feng Z, Feng G, Zhu H, and Yao Q. 2024. “Improved Observation of Colonized Roots Reveals the Regulation of Arbuscule Development and Senescence by Drought Stress in the Arbuscular Mycorrhizae of Citrus.” *Horticultural Plant Journal* 10:425–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpj.2023.04.006>.
- Yusnizar, Y., Syafruddin S, Hifnalisa H, Karim A, Fikrinda F, and Latifurrahmi L. 2024. “Propagation of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) Spores from Arabica Coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) Plantations in Bener Meriah Regency.” *AGROTEK: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pertanian* 8:55–61.
<https://doi.org/10.33096/agrotek.v8i1.475>.
- Yuwati, TW, Putri WS, and Badruzsaufari. 2020. “Comparison of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Spores Abundance Under Sengon (*Falcataria Moluccana* (Miq.) Barneby & Grimes) Planted on Deep Peat and Mineral Soils.” *Journal of Tropical Peatland* 10:1–8.
<https://doi.org/10.52850/jtpupr.v10i2.2062>.
- Yuwati, TW, Rahmi ANR, Hakim SS, and Badruzsaufari. 2020. “The Abundance of Arbuscular Mycorrhiza Infective Propagules Under Galam Stand at Shallow Peat of South Kalimantan.” *BIO Web of Conferences* 20:03008.
<https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20202003008>.
- Zhiyong, Z., Baomin F, Chao S, Xiaoxian Z, Qingwen Z, and Bing Y. 2022. “Advances in Root System Architecture: Functionality, Plasticity, and Research Methods.” *Journal of Resources and Ecology* 14:15–24.
<https://doi.org/10.5814/j.issn.1674-764x.2023.01.002>.
- Zhou, J., Chai X, Zhang L, George TS, Wang F, and Feng G. 2020. “Different Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Cocolonizing on a Single Plant Root System Recruit Distinct Microbiomes.” *MSystems* 5:e00929.
<https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00929-20>.

How to cite this article:

Muh. Akhsan Akib, Andi Nuddin, Gusmiaty and Retno Prayudyaningsih. 2024. The Colonization Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza in Different of Dosage Form in the Root Tissue of C3 and C4 Plants. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 13(12): 19-30. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijemas.2024.1312.003>